

IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications 10-13 May 2021 // Virtual Conference

SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY



Ultra-Wideband Swarm Ranging

Feng Shan, Jiaxin Zeng, Zengbao Li, Junzhou Luo, Weiwei Wu

Southeast University, Nanjing, China





Introduction

Preliminary

Swarm Ranging Protocol

Experiment

Conclusion





Introduction



Swarm Ranging Protocol

Experiment



Swarm of robots and devices

• Aerial/ground robots, wearable/portable devices are becoming smaller, lighter, cheaper, and popular.





- Tens and thousands of them are to form a swarm to complete complicated cooperative tasks.
 - A team of indoor drones search for given targets
 - A swarm of small robots explore and map unknown indoor environments
 - An army of legged robot dogs battle in a deep forest

Dynamic and dense swarm features

• Dynamic and dense swarm

- large number
- high mobility
- short distance.

- When no outside supportive infrastructures, critical to
 - Real-time internal distance ranging for localization
 - Low latency ad hoc networking and communication





Ultra-wideband swarm ranging protocol



- Ultra-wideband (UWB) wireless technology support simultaneously ranging and communication.
- Our target is to design a UWB ranging protocol for a dynamic and dense swarm of robots and devices.



Challenges to design such a ranging protocol



- Design a *simple yet efficient* protocol
 - A large number share the same UWB channel.
 - Inappropriate cause conflicting and high computation cost.
- Design a *adaptive and robust* protocol
 - High mobility requires high frequency ranging.
 - Ranging frequency should be reduced whenever necessary.
 - Also cause wireless channel unstable and packet lose.
- Design a *scalable and compatible* protocol
 - Message has limitation space for dense neighbor.
 - Support existing networking and localization protocols.





Introduction

Preliminary

Swarm Ranging Protocol

Experiment



Ultra-Wide Band (UWB) technology



- UWB propagates data at high bit rates over a wide frequency spectrum(3.1~10.6GHz)
- So time sensitive that an accurate distance can be calculated using transmit and receive timestamps
- Ranging accuracy around 10 cm



Double-sided two-way ranging (DS-TWR)

- Double-sided two-way ranging (DS-TWR)
 - An existing ranging protocol from IEEE Standard 802.15.4-2011 is later improved by industry giant Decawave.
- Distance calculated after exchanging four messages
 poll, response, final, and report messages.



Double-sided two-way ranging (DS-TWR)

- Recorded timestamps : T_p , R_p , T_r , R_r , T_f , R_f
- Calculate the round and reply time: $a_d = R_r - T_p, b_p = T_r - R_p, b_d = R_f - T_r, a_p = T_f - R_r$
- Time of flight: $t_p = \frac{a_d b_d a_p b_p}{a_d + b_d + a_p + b_p}$



Simple extension of standard protocol



- Use the token ring method to control the ranging process.
- Token owner initializes ranging process with all its neighbors, one by one. Then, pass the token to the next in the ring.



• Inefficient: messages heard by all neighbors, but are mostly ignored.

The basic idea of swarm ranging

- Define one single message named *ranging message*
- Each side periodically broadcasts the *ranging message*





The basic idea of swarm ranging



- To deal with the challenges of the **dynamic and dense** swarm, the following questions must be answered.
 - **Q1**: How to **design the ranging message** so that sufficient timestamps are carried?
 - **Q2**: Does the enlarged transmit period affect accuracy?
 - **Q3**: How does **high mobility** affect the ranging accuracy?
 - **Q4**: **How often** should the ranging message be broadcasted?
 - **Q5**: What if **message lost or ranging frequency mismatched**?
 - **Q6**: How to handle **dense neighbors**?
 - **Q7**: Does the swarm ranging protocol supports or compatible with other **higher level protocols**?















Ranging message and ranging table



- The last transmit timestamp
- All receive timestamps since last transmit



• Ranging table keeps only few timestamps

Y side
$$R_p = R_{A_{i-1}}$$
 $T_r = T_{Y_{j-1}}$ $R_f = R_{A_i}$ A side $T_p = T_{A_{i-1}}$ $R_r = R_{Y_{j-1}}$ $T_f = T_{A_i}$

Ranging message and ranging table



- Each ranging message includes
 - The last transmit timestamp
 - All receive timestamps since last transmit
- Ranging table keeps only few timestamps



How mobility affect ranging accuracy





How mobility affect ranging accuracy





Design of adaptive ranging protocol

•
$$t_p^{computed} = \frac{a_d b_d - a_p b_p}{a_d + b_d + a_p + b_p} \approx t_{p_2}$$

• Calculation occurs at receiving Y_j , the actual ToF:

$$t_p^{actual} = t_{p_4} = t_{p_2} - t_{\Delta} = t_p^{computed} - \frac{\nu_P}{c}$$

• Bound the error:

$$\frac{\left|t_{p}^{actual} - t_{p}^{computed}\right|}{t_{p}^{actual}} \le e_{0}$$

• Then:

$$\frac{t_{\Delta}}{t_{p_2}} \le \frac{e_0}{1 - e_0}$$

• Consider $t_{\Delta}c = vP$, Finally:
$$P \le \frac{e_0}{1 - e_0} \frac{d_2}{v}$$

Q4 (broadcast frequency) is answered

- As a conclusion
 - When two sides are close, use short ranging period;
 - When two sides move fast, use short ranging period.



Packet loss and ranging period mismatch

- We summary four cases.
 - Case 1, A side receives more than it transmits.
 - Case 2, one message from A side is lost.
 - Case 3, A side transmits more than it receives.
 - Case 4, one message from Y side is lost.



Handle mismatch and loss



• Handle Case 1 and Case 2



Case 1



Case 2



Handle mismatch and loss

東南大学

• Handle Case 4







Handle dense neighbors



- When the neighbors are dense, ranging message capacity will not be enough to carry all timestamps for every neighbor.
- Our solution:
 - Allow neighbors to have different ranging periods.
 - Carry neighbors' information only when necessary.
 - Expire neighbors' information after a certain time.
- Upgrade the ranging table by adding new elements
 - **P** : the newest ranging period for A and Y
 - t_n : the next (expected) delivery time for neighbor Y
 - t_s : the expiration time for neighbor Y





Support higher level protocols/algorithms



- Maintains neighbor list for higher level protocol, e. g., OLSR.
- Support for localization by **providing the fundamental distance information**



Q7 (support higher level protocols) is answered











Experiment



Ranging period and accuracy

• The impact of ranging period on ranging accuracy



May 12, 2021

Distance(m)

Velocity, ranging period and accuracy

- The ranging results are delayed compare to the ground truth.
- The larger P, the bigger delay.
- Velocity also affects the delay .







May 12, 2021

Protocol performance

- Performance for mismatched ranging period
- Comparison with ranging based on token ring.













Ultra-Wideband Swarm Ranging demo video

Feng Shan, Jiaxin Zeng, Zengbao Li, Junzhou Luo, Weiwei Wu IEEE INFOCOM 2021, Virtual Conference, May 10-13, 2021







Introduction



Swarm Ranging Protocol

Experiment

Conclusion





- 1. This paper proposes a UWB swarm ranging protocol, designed specially for **dynamic and dense** swarm.
- 2. It is *simple yet efficient*. All messages are unified in one, i.e., *ranging message*, which is broadcasted periodically.
- 3. It is *adaptive and robust*. The ranging period adapts to the ranging pair's speed and distance. Packet loss is handled appropriately.
- 4. It is *scalable and compatible*. A rotation scheme is designed to handle dense neighbors. Higher level networking and localization protocols and algorithms are supported.
- 5. Physical experiment is conducted. The protocol is implemented on Crazyflie drones, that are powered by STM32 microcontrollers and have only 192KB memory.



Thank You!

Feng Shan (shanfeng@seu.edu.cn)

Southeast University, China